



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/482,235	01/13/2000	John L. Wood	OCR-729/756	6715
7590 09/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Arthur G Schaier			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
Carmody & Torrance, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 Leavenworth Street , P.O. Box 1110				TALER NOWIDER
Waterbury, CT 06721			1624	

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/482,235	WOOD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
•		Brenda L. Coleman	1624		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	July 2005.			
		his action is non-final.			
′—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice unde		·		
Dispositi	on of Claims	•			
· _		are pending in the englication			
	Claim(s) <u>3-5,8-11,13-16,19-24 and 28-41</u> is/ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		.		
	Claim(s) <u>8,9,13-16,19-21,23,24,28 and 30</u> is				
· —	Claim(s) <u>3-5,10,11,22,29,31 and 33-41</u> is/ar				
· —	Claim(s) 29 and 32 is/are objected to.	o rejected.			
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement			
٠,۵	are subject to recursion and	aror ologian roquilotti.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • •		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		• • • • • •		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		· ———		
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachmen					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)		
z) ∐ Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		Mail Date omal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 3-5, 8-11, 13-16, 19-24 and 28-41 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment dated July 11, 2005. Claims 26 and 27 have been cancelled; Claims 3, 8, 9, 11, 13, 19-21, 23 and 24 have been amended; and Claims 28-41 are newly added.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed July 11, 2005 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 3-5, 8-11, 13-16, 19-24, 26 and 27 labeled paragraph 1 maintained in the last office action, which is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 102, anticipation rejection of claims 3-5, 8-11, 13-16, 19-24, 26 and 27 labeled paragraph 2 maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 3. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 3 maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

In view of the amendment dated July 11, 2005, the following new grounds of rejection and/or reinstated rejections apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 3-5, 10, 11, 22, 29 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the process of claim 29 where the acetal is the open chain congener is not described in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

5. Claims 31, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the process of claim 31 where the acetal is the open chain congener is not described in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

6. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the process of claim 40 and 41 where R₁-R₁₉ includes the definition where R₁-R₁₉ are selected from the group consisting of unsaturated, branched, linear, or cyclic alkyl, heteroalkyl, aryl, and heteroaryl; and mixtures of the foregoing, wherein hetero refers to O, S, N, or P is not described in the specification.

Page 4

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reason(s) apply:
 - a) Claim 33 recites the limitation "the acetal" in the 3rd line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

8. Claims 29 and 32 are objected to because of the following informalities: the faxed copy has cut off a portion of the last line on pages 6 and 9, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1624

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8, 9, 13-16, 19-21, 23, 24, 28 and 30 are allowed. None of the prior art of record or a search in the pertinent art area teaches the process of preparing the furanosylated indolocarbazoles as claimed herein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda L. Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

Page 5

September 14, 2005